Directions: The school team should obtain and review all of the available research on the intervention under study, and determine whether each study provides strong, moderate, or promising evidence for the intervention (or demonstrates a rationale for adoption), based on ESSA standards and guidance. Research should be similar in population and subgroup.

Interve	Intervention/Strategy:							
Question	In each column, address the question for each study, using the response options listed; make notes in the columns if needed.	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3				
	Review the Research							
1	List the source of the evidence review (e.g., WWC), or author(s) and year of the study.							
	Was this study a well-designed and well-implemented randomized controlled trial							
2a	(RCT), as defined by ESSA? Response options: Yes, No, or Not enough information If "Yes," go to 2b. If "No" or "Not enough information," go to 3a. For this RCT, is there a statistically significant favorable effect of the							
2ь	intervention on the relevant outcome(s)?							
	Response options: Yes or No For this RCT, is there a statistically significant and overriding <i>unfavorable</i> effect							
2c	on the relevant outcome(s)?							
2d	Response options: Yes or No Does this RCT provide <u>STRONG EVIDENCE</u> for the intervention? Response options: Yes or No If the response to row 2b is "Yes" and the response to 2c is "No," mark "Yes" in this							
	row, then proceed to question 6. If the response to row 2b is "No" and the response to row 2c is "Yes," mark "No" in this row, then proceed to question 3a.							
3a	Was this study a well-designed and well-implemented quasi-experimental design (QED), as defined by ESSA?							
	Response options: Yes, No, or Not enough information If "Yes," go to 3b.							
	If "No" or "Not enough information," go to 4a. For this QED study, is there a statistically significant favorable impact of the							
3b	intervention on the relevant outcome(s)?							
	Response options: Yes or No For this QED study, is there a statistically significant and overriding <i>unfavorable</i>							
Зс	ror this QLD study, is there a statistically significant and overfluing <i>unjuvoruble</i> effect on the relevant outcome(s)? Response options: Yes or No							
3d	Does this QED study provide MODERATE EVIDENCE for the intervention?							
	Response options: Yes or No If the response to row 3b is "Yes" and the response to 3c is "No," mark "Yes" in this row. then proceed to question 6. If the response to row 3b is "No" and the response to row 3c is "Yes," mark "No" in this row, then proceed to question 4a.							
4a	Was this study a well-designed and well-implemented correlational study, as defined by ESSA?							
	Response options: Yes, No, or Not enough information							
	If "Yes," go to 4b. If "No" or "Not enough information," go to 5.							
4b	For this correlational study, is there a statistically significant favorable impact of the intervention on the relevant outcome(s)? Response options: Yes or No							
4c	For this correlational study, is there a statistically significant and overriding unfavorable effect on the relevant outcome(s)?							
	Response options: Yes or No							
4d	Does this correlational study provide <u>PROMISING EVIDENCE</u> for the intervention? Response options: Yes or No							
	If the response to 4b is "Yes" and the response to 4c is "No," mark "Yes" in this row, then proceed to question 6. If the response to 4b is "No" and the response to 4c is "Yes," mark "No" in this row,							
5	then proceed to question 5. Does this study <u>DEMONSTRATE A RATIONALE</u> for using the intervention? In other words, does this study suggest that the intervention is likely to improve a relevant outcome?							
	Response options: Yes, No, or Not enough information							
Examine the Research and Educational Context								
6	Examining the information for questions 2d, 3d, 4d, and 5, what is the highest level of evidence provided by each study for the intervention?							
	Response options: Strong, Moderate, Promising, or Demonstrates a rationale							

	Question	In each column, address the question for each study, using the response options listed; make notes in the columns if needed.	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3				
	7	In each study, was the intervention implemented in a setting similar to yours (e.g., rural, urban, grade span)?							
ш		Response options: Very much, Somewhat, A little, Not at all							
		In each study, was the intervention implemented with populations similar to yours (e.g., limited language proficient, low socio-economic status, specific ethnicity)?							
ı		Response options: Very much, Somewhat, A little, Not at all							
	Assess the Cummaltive Evidence for the Intervention								
9	9	Looking across the information for question 6 only, which of the following designations best describes the cumulative evidence for this intervention?							
		Strong Moderate Promising Demonstrates a rationale							
	10	Looking across information for questions 6,7 and 8 which of the following designations best describes the <i>cumulative evidence</i> for this intervention, specifically for the settings and populations that are similar to yours?							
		Strong Moderate Promising Demonstrates a rationale	s a rationale						